## An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists

## Tutorial 4.1

We create a new folder:
\$ cd ~/scratch/summerschool ; mkdir tutorial-4.1 ; cd tutorial-4.1
In this tutorial we will learn how to calculate the vibrational frequencies of molecules and solids, phonon dispersion relations, LO-TO splitting, IR activity, and low-frequency dielectric constants.

## Stretching frequency of a diatomic molecule

We start from the simplest possible system, the diatomic molecule $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ studied in Tutorial 2.1.
We copy the setup from T2.1:

```
$ cp ../tutorial-2.1/cl2.in ./
$ cp ../tutorial-2.1/Cl.pz-bhs.UPF /
$ cp ../tutorial-2.1/pw.x ./
```

We now modify the input file in order to make sure that we are using the optimized geometry and convergence parameters from Tutorial 2.1:
\$ more cl2.in

```
&control
    calculation = ('Scf'
    prefix = 'Cl2',
    pseudo_dir = './',
    outdir = './'
/
&system
    ibrav = 1,
    celldm(1) = 20.0,
    nat = 2,
    ntyp = 1,
    ecutwfc = 100,
/
&electrons
    conv_thr = 1.0d-8
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
    Cl 1.0 Cl.pz-bhs.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS bohr
    Cl 0.000 0.00 0.00
    Cl 3.725 0.00 0.00
K_POINTS gamma
```

As usual we perform a test run to make sure that everything goes smoothly. In this case it is important to set -npool 1 in the call to pw.x.

In Lecture 4.1 we have seen that the vibrational frequency of a diatomic molecule can be calculated using:

$$
\omega=\sqrt{\frac{2 K}{M}}, \quad K=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial d^{2}}\right|_{d_{0}}
$$

where $M$ is the mass of the Cl nucleus, $U$ is the total potential energy surface, $d$ is the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance, and $d_{0}$ is the equilibrium bond length.
By approximating the second derivative using finite differences we have:

$$
\hbar \omega \simeq \hbar \sqrt{\frac{2}{M} \frac{U\left(d_{0}+\delta\right)-2 U\left(d_{0}\right)+U\left(d_{0}-\delta\right)}{\delta^{2}}}
$$

where $\delta$ is a small number, say $\delta=0.001$ bohr.
We now calculate $U\left(d_{0}\right), U\left(d_{0}+\delta\right)$, and $U\left(d_{0}-\delta\right)$ by creating two new input files where the coordinates of the second Cl atom are modified.
We can do this as usual using vi. Alternatively we can use the following direct strategy:

```
$ sed "s/3.725/3.726/g" cl2.in > cl2_plus.in
$ sed "s/3.725/3.724/g" cl2.in > cl2_minus.in
```

It is convenient to extract the corresponding total energies from the output files on the fly. This can be done as follows:

```
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool>1 < cl2_plus.in | grep "\!" > U_plus.txt
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool 1 < cl2_minus.in l grep "\!" > U_minus.txt
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool 1 < cl2.in | grep "\!" > UO.txt
```

In these expression the character D'pipes' the output from the command on the left (pw.x) into the input of the following command (grep " $\backslash$ !"). "The result is then written (>) into the file on the right.

After completing our batch job on the cluster we should see the following:

```
$ more U*.txt
:::::::::: : : 
UO.txt
::::::::::: : : :
! total energys}==\quad-59.99059545 R
U_minus.txt
::::::::::::::
! total energy = -59.99059541 Ry
::
U_plus.txt
::::::::::::::
! total energy = -59.99059506 Ry
```

At this point we can combine our results, considering that the mass of Cl is 35.45 amu ( $1 \mathrm{amu}=$ $1822.8885 m_{e}$ ). We find:

$$
\hbar \omega=70.2 \mathrm{meV}
$$

to be compared to the experimental value of 66.7 meV .

## Stretching frequency of a diatomic molecule, using DFPT

The calculation method of the previous section is very general and widely used, however there exists a faster alternative based on density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT).

In DFPT the vibrational frequency is calculated directly by working with the equilibrium structure, using perturbation theory.

In the Quantum Espresso package DFPT for vibrations is implemented in a code named ph.x. In order to use this code we need to go back to the root directory summerschool/espresso-5.4.0, and execute:

```
$ make ph
```

\$ cp bin/ph.x ../tutorial-4.1/

We can build a simple input file for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as follows:

```
$ cat > ph.in << EOF
vibrations of Cl2
&inputph
    prefix = 'Cl2',
    amass(1) = 35.45,
    outdir = './',
    fildyn = 'cl2.dyn',
/
0.0 0.0 0.0
EOF
```

Here the first line is just a comment field; the file 'cl2.dyn' will contain the dynamical matrix. amass is the atomic mass in amu (atomic mass units). The last line specifies that we want a calculation at the $\Gamma$ point, that is $\mathbf{q}=(0,0,0)$. This is appropriate since we are considering an isolated molecule. Note that prefix must be the same as that used by pw.x.
In order to execute ph.x we first heed to calculate the ground state properties of the system using $\mathrm{pw} . \mathrm{x}$. In this case we must modify the input file cl2.in as follows:

```
$ more cl2.in
K_POINTS tpiba
1
0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0
```

With this modification pw. x is still instructed to calculate wavefunctions at $\Gamma$, that is $\mathbf{k}=0$. The difference between this file and the previous version is that now we are instructing pw. x to treat wavefunctions as complex quantities; in the previous version, the keyword gamma was instructing the code to treat wavefunctions as real quantities.
The results do not change, but this modification is needed because ph.x only recognizes complex wavefunctions.

We can now insert the following lines into our submission script, and run the job:
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool 1 < cl2.in > cl2.out
mpirun -np 12 ph.x -npool $1<\mathrm{ph}$.in > ph.out
After completion of this job we should find the file cl2.dyn in our working directory:
\$ more cl2.dyn



Here the blue lines represent the calculated dynamical matrix: we have 2 atoms and 3 Cartesian coordinates, therefore the size of this matrix is $6 \times 6$. The blue lines correspond to precisely 36 numbers, presented as pairs of real and imaginary part.
The numbers in red are the vibrational frequencies obtained by diagonalizing the dynamical matrix.

Here we see that some frequencies are negative. This is only a convention, which is used to indicate that the diagonalization of the dynamical matrix led to a uegative eigenvalue: $\omega^{2}<0$. In these cases the code prints the quantity $-\sqrt{\left|\omega^{2}\right|}$, and the minus sign is just a flag to warn us that something is not right. In other codes you may find the imaginary unit $i$ in front of these frequencies, eg $107.889470 i$.

In this example we were expecting to obtain $\omega=0$ for 5 modes ( 3 translations of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 2 rotations), and one high-frequency stretching mode. However, we should keep in mind that our $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule is in a periodic supercell, therefore a global rotation of all the molecules must involve some small amount of energy. Furthermore, in these calculations space is not exactly 'isotropic', owing to our finite planewaves cutoff. Together these two effect lead to nonzero frequencies in modes 1-5.

These artifacts can be corrected by imposing so-called acoustic sum rules. This procedure corresponds to modifying the dynamical matrix in such a way as to make sure that the molecule will not experience any restoring force when translated or rotated. We can perform this operation by calling a postprocessing program, dynmat.x:

```
$ cp ../espresso-5.4.0/bin/dynmat.x ./
$ cat > cl2.dynmat.in << EOF
&input
    fildyn = 'cl2.dyn',
    asr = 'zero-dim',
/
EOF
$ ./dynmat.x < cl2.dynmat.in
```

Here asr is a flag that instructs the code to impose the acoustic sum rule (a.s.r.). Note that we are executing this small program in serial on the current node, without submitting a batch job.

This program will produce the following frequencies:

| \# mode | $[\mathrm{cm}-1]$ | $[\mathrm{THz}]$ | IR |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0060 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 5 | 0.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 6 | 554.32 | 16.6180 | 0.0000 |

We see that now the system has only one nonzero vibrational frequency, as expected. The calculated value $68.7 \mathrm{meV}\left(1 \mathrm{meV}=8.0655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ is close to our result from the previous section, 65.1 meV . The two values are not identical for two reasons: (1) The acoustic sum rule modifies the potential energy surface, and (2) the present calculations correspond to taking the second derivative of $U$ in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

The documentation about the phonon code ph.x can be found at the following link: http://www.quantum-espresso.org/wp-content/uploads/Doc/INPUT_PH.html

An extensive set of examples on how to use ph. x is located inside the the directory: espresso-5.4.0/PHonon/examples/

## Phonon dispersion relations of diamond

In this section we calculate the phonon dispersion relations of diamond. We begin by setting up the usual input file for diamond, from Tutorial 2.2:

```
$ wget http://www.quantum-espresso.org/wp-content/uploads/upf_files/C.pz-vbc.UPF
$ cat > scf.in << EOF
&control
    calculation = 'scf'
    prefix = 'diamond',
    pseudo_dir = './',
    outdir = './'
/
&system
    ibrav = 2,
    celldm(1) = 6.66405,
    nat = 2,
    ntyp = 1,
    ecutwfc = 100.0,
/
&electrons
```

    conv_thr = 1.0d-12
    /
ATOMIC_SPECIES
C 1.0 C.pz-vbc.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
C 0.000 .000 .00
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25\end{array}$
K_POINTS automatic
444111
EOF

Here the lattice constant, the Brillouin-zone sampling, and the planewaves cutoff are set to the same values that we obtained in Tutorial 2.2. We are now using a more stringent threshold for the self-consistent cycle, conv_thr, since phonon calculations are quite sensitive to the accuracy of the ground-state DFT calculation.

In order to calculate phonon frequencies along some high-symmetry paths in the Brillouin zone we need to go through three separate steps:

1) Calculate the frequencies on a uniform grid of $q$-points;
2) Calculate the corresponding interatomic force constants in real space;
3) Calculate the frequencies along the chosen path of $q$-points, using a Fourier interpolation.

The first step is performed using ph. x :

```
$ cat > ph.in << EOF
-
&inputph
    prefix = 'diamond',
    ldisp = .true.
    amass(1) = 12.0107,
    fildyn = 'dyn',
    nq1 = 2,
    nq2 = 2,
    nq3 = 2,
    tr2_ph = 1.0d-14,
/
EOF
```

Here the flag Idisp = .true. specifies that we are requesting a calculation on a uniform grid. The size of this grid is specifieed by the variables nq1, nq2, and nq3. Standard grids are of the order of $4 \times 4 \times 4$ to $8 \times 8 \times 8$ points; here we use a modest $2 \times 2 \times 2$ grid only to save time.

This calculation can be performed by using the following lines in our job submission script:

```
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool 4 < scf.in> scf.out
mpirun -np 12 ph.x -npool 4 < ph.in > ph.out
```

The second step is performed using a program called $\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{x}$. This is a small post-processing program which is found in the directory. Vespresso- $5.4 .0 / \mathrm{bin}$. The input file is very simple, and we can execute this program locally (ie without submitting to the queue):
\$ cp ../espresso-5.4.0/bin/q2r.x (1)
\$ cat > q2r.in << EOF
\&input
fildyn = 'dyn',
flfrc = 'diam.fc'
/
EOF
\$ ./q2r.x < q2r.in
At the end of the execution the file diam.fc will contain the interatomic force constants.

For the third step we need a program called matdyn. x. This is also a small post-processing program located in ../espresso-5.4.0/bin.
\$ cp ../espresso-5.4.0/bin/matdyn.x ./
The input file is on the next page, and also this program can be executed locally:

```
$ cat > matdyn.in << EOF
&input
    asr = 'simple',
    flfrc = 'diam.fc',
    flfrq = 'diam.freq'
/
21
    0.500 0.500 0.500
    0.450 0.450 0.450
    0.400 0.400 0.400
    0.350 0.350 0.350
    0.300 0.300 0.300
    0.250 0.250 0.250
    0.200 0.200 0.200
    0.150 0.150 0.150
    0.100 0.100 0.100
    0.050 0.050 0.050
    0.000 0.000 0.000
    0.100 0.000 0.000
    0.200 0.000 0.000
    0.300 0.000 0.000
    0.400 0.000 0.000
    0.500 0.000 0.000
    0.600 0.000 0.000
    0.700 0.000 0.000
    0.800 0.000 0.000
    0.900 0.000 0.000
    1.000 0.000 0.000
EOF
$ ./matdyn.x < matdyn.in
```

In this file we are specifying that we want the code to calculate vibrational frequencies for 21 qpoints. The Cartesian coordinates of these points are specified in units of $2 \pi / a$. In this example we have 21 points uniformly distributed along the path $L \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow X . L$ is $(1 / 2,1 / 2,1 / 2) 2 \pi / a, X$ is $(1,0,0) 2 \pi / a$, and $\Gamma$ is $(0,0,0)$.

The calculated frequencies can be found in the file diam.freq.gp. A plot of these data using gnuplot gives the following phonon dispersion relations:


## LO-TO splitting, IR activity, and dielectric constant of GaAs

In this section we consider GaAs as an example of polar semiconductor. The atoms of polar semiconductors exhibit nonzero Born effective charges. The main consequences of nonzero Born charges are: i) The vibrational frequencies of longitudinal and transverse optical phonons at long wavelength $(\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0)$ do not coincide. This is called LO-TO splitting. ii) The system exhibits infrared (IR) activity. iii) The ionic vibrations provide an additional contribution to the dielectric constant at low frequency.

Let us create a basic input file for pw. x, for the case of GaAs:

```
$ wget http://www.quantum-espresso.org/wp-content/uploads/upf_files/Ga.pz-bhs.UPF
$ wget http://www.quantum-espresso.org/wp-content/uploads/upf_files/As.pz-bhs.UPF
cat > scf.in << EOF
&control
    calculation = 'scf'
    prefix = 'gaas',
    pseudo_dir = './',
    outdir = './'
/
&system
    ibrav = 2,
    celldm(1) = 10.4749,
    nat = 2,
    ntyp = 2,
    ecutwfc = 40.0,
/
&electrons
/
ATOMIC_SPECIES
    Ga 1.0 Ga.pz-bhs.UPF
    As 1.0 As.pz-bhs.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
    Ga 0.00 0.00 0.00
    As 0.25 0.25 0.25
K_POINTS automatic
666111
EOF
```

All the parameters in this input file have been optimized separately. We can perform a test run to make sure that everything is in place: as usual we call $\mathrm{pw} . \mathrm{x}$ from within our submission script:

```
mpirun -np 12 pw.x -npool 12 < scf.in > scf.out
```

Now we calculate vibrational frequencies at $\mathbf{q}=0$. The input file for $\mathrm{ph} . \mathrm{x}$ is similar to what we have seen in the previous section. The only differences are the two additional flags epsil and zeu:

```
$ cat > ph.in << EOF
phonons of GaAs
&inputph
    prefix = 'gaas',
    amass(1) = 69.723,
    amass(2) = 74.9216,
    epsil = .true.,
    zeu = .true.,
    fildyn = 'dyn',
    tr2_ph = 1.0d-14,
/
0.0 0.0 0.0
EOF
```

If we visit the documentation page, http://www.quantum-espresso.org/wp-content/uploads/ Doc/INPUT_PH.html, and look for these flags we find:


```
zeu LOGICAL
```

If .true. in a $q=0$ calculation for a non metal the
effective charges are computed from the dielectric
response. This is the default algorithm. If epsil=.true.
and zeu=.false. only the dielectric tensor is calculated.

Therefore these flags instruct ph. x to also evaluate the high-frequency (electronic) dielectric constant tensor of the system, as well as the Born effective charges. As we have seen in Lecture 4.2, these quantities are needed for calculating the IR activity of each mode and the static dielectric constant.

We execute ph.x using this input file from our batch script:

```
mpirun -np 12 ph.x -npool 12< ph.in > ph.out
```

Towards the end of the output file we will find the following information:
Number of q in the star $=$
List of q in the star:
1 0.000000000 0.000000000


|  | $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| freq $($ | $1)=$ | $0.142309[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $4.746905[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |
| freq $($ | $2)=$ | $0.142309[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $4.746905[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |
| freq $($ | $3)=$ | $0.142309[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $4.746905[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |
| freq $($ | $4)=$ | $8.264350[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $275.669027[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |
| freq $($ | $5)=$ | $8.264350[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $275.669027[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |
| freq $($ | $6)=$ | $8.264350[\mathrm{THz}]=$ | $275.669027[\mathrm{~cm}-1]$ |

Here we recognize the high-frequency dielectric constant of GaAs, $\epsilon_{\infty}=11.56$, and the Born effective charges of $G a$ ans As, respectively $Z_{\mathrm{Ga}}^{*}=2.03$ and $Z_{\mathrm{As}}^{*}=-2.04$ (in principle these two values should add up to zero, but we have some numerical error).
The calculated dielectric constant is about $6 \%$ higher than the experimental value, $\epsilon_{\infty}^{\exp }=10.89$. This overestimation is related to the band gap problem of DFT, which will be discussed in Lecture 5.1.

In the previous page we can see that the optical modes exhibit three identical frequencies, while we were expecting two degenerate TO modes and one LO mode at a higher frequency.
The reason why the modes are degenerate is that the calculation performed by $\mathrm{ph} . \mathrm{x}$ missed a contribution, called the 'non-analytical part of the dynamical matrix'. This contribution can be calculated by using the dielectric constant and Born charges. Let us see how:

We create a new input file for dynmat. $x$ :

```
$ cat > dynmat.in << EOF
&input
    fildyn = 'dyn',
    asr = 'simple',
    lperm = .true.,
    q(1)=1.0,
    q(2) =0.0,
    q(3)=0.0
/
EOF
```

Here $q(1), q(2)$, and $q(3)$ specify the direction along which we approach $q \rightarrow 0$ (the LO-TO splitting is direction-dependent). When one of these numbers is nonzero, dynmat. x understands that it must read the dielectric constant and Born charges, calculate the LO-TO correction, and determine IR activities. The additional flag Iperm specifies that we also want the static permittivity. After running dynmat. $x$ we should obtain the following:

```
$ ./dynmat.x < dynmat.in
```

```
IR activities are in (D/A)-2/amu units
# mode [cm-1] [THz] IR
    1 0.00 0.0000 0.0000
    2.00 0.0000 0.0000
    3 0.00 0.0000 0.0000
    4 275.63 8.2632 2.6559
    5 275.63 8.2632 2.6559
    6 295.77 8.8670 2.6559
Electronic dielectric permittivity tensor (F/m units)
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
11.559430 & 0.000000 & 0.000000 \\
0.000000 & 11.559430 & 0.000000 \\
0.000000 & 0.000000 & 11.559430
\end{tabular}
... with zone-center polar mode contributions
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
13.080194 & -0.000000 & 0.000000 \\
0.000000 & 13.310576 & 0.000000 \\
0.000000 & 0.000000 & 13.310576
\end{tabular}
```

We can see that now we have 2 degenerate TO modes at 34.17 meV , and 1 LO mode at 36.67 meV . The corresponding LO-TO splitting is 2.5 meV , in good agreement with the experimental value of 2.72 meV obtained by Strauch \& Dorner, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2, 1457 (1990).

The dielectric constants are highlighted in magenta. Here we see that $\epsilon_{0}=13.08$. For comparison the experimental value is $\epsilon_{0}^{\exp }=12.9$, therefore the relative deviation is of only $1.4 \%$.

In the output file we also see the IR activities in units of debye $/ \AA^{2} / \mathrm{amu}$.
We should be careful in interpreting these data: IR measurements on thick films only detect TO modes; LO modes are seen in thin films at oblique incidence (Berreman effect), and the relative intensities of LO and TO modes depend on the angle of incidence and film thickness. Some illustrative measurements on III-V semiconductors can be found in Ibáñez et al, J. Appl. Phys. 104, 033544 (2008).

Note. The procedure that we used to calculate the LO-TO splitting can be bypassed by performing a direct calculation of phonon frequencies using a small but nonzero wavevector. For example we could simply use:

```
phonons of GaAs near Gamma
```

\&inputph
prefix = 'gaas',
amass(1) $=69.723$,
$\operatorname{amass}(2)=74.9216$,
fildyn = 'dyn',
tr2_ph = 1.0d-14,
/
0.010 .00 .0

This gives two degenerate TO phonons at 34.17 meV and one LO phonon at 36.67 meV , in agreement with our previous calculation.
This alternative procedure is perfectly legitimate, but it does not provide us with Born charges, dielectric constants, and IR activities.

## An introduction to density functional theory for experimentalists

## Tutorial 4.2

## Hands-on session

We create a new folder as usual:
> cd ~/scratch/summerschool; mkdir tutorial-4.2 ; cd tutorial-4.2
In this tutorial we study the phonon dispersion relations of diamond, GaAs and $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$.

## Exercise 1

- Familiarize yourself with the calculation of the phonon dispersion relations of diamond, following step-by-step the procedure outlined in Tutorial 4.1.


## Exercise 2

$\rightarrow$ Repeat the calculations illustrated in Tutorial 4.1 for GaAs. Note that all these calculations refer to zone-center phonons, $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$.

## Exercise 3

If you succeeded to complete Exercise 2 , you should now see in your working directory the file dynmat.axsf. This file has been produced by the code dynmat. $x$ that was invoked at the very end of the exercise.

The file dynmat.axsf contains the vibrational eignemodes in a format which can be read and visualized by xcrysden. Let us recall that these modes correspond to the atomic displacement patterns associated with a wavevector $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ along the direction $x$ (this was specified in the input file dynmat.in).

- In this exercise we want to visualize these modes.

Let us call xcrysden and go through the following steps. We open the dynmat.axsf file:


For the time being we ignore the window indicating the 'current slide'. This window will be used later to select the vibrational mode to be visualized.


We activate the visualization of 'forces' (in our case the arrows will represent displacements, not forces, but the file format and the naming conventions are the same).


We adjust the length of the arrows by a uniform scaling


We ask the program to show the Cartesian axes.


At this point we can use the window entitled 'Current Slide' to inspect each vibrational modes. The result should look similar to the following:
mode 1

mode 2

mode 3

mode 4

mode 5

mode 6


Here we should be able to recognize the three translational modes at $\mathbf{q}=0$ (modes $1-3$ ), which should have $\omega=0$. We can also recognize the optical phonons (modes 4-6): in these modes Ga and As atoms move in opposite directions. Furthermore, we see that in modes 4 and 5 the atomic displacements are along $y$ and $z$, while in mode 6 the atoms displace along $x$. Since we have $\mathbf{q}$ along the $x$ axis (see dynmat.in), we can conclude that mode 6 is LO, while modes 4-5 are TO.

## Exercise 4

Calculate the phonon dispersion relations of GaAs, including the LO-TO splitting.

Note: In this exercise we need to combine what we have learned when we calculated the phonon dispersion relations of diamond, and what we did for calculating the LO-TO splitting in GaAs. The correct input file for ph. x is:

```
> cat > ph.in << EOF
phonons of GaAs
&inputph
    prefix = 'gaas',
    amass(1) = 69.723,
    amass(2) = 74.9216,
    epsil = .true.,
    zeu = .true.,
    fildyn = 'dyn',
    tr2_ph = 1.0d-14,
    ldisp = .true.,
    nq1 = 4,
    nq2 = 4,
    nq3 = 4,
/
EOF
```

In this input file the flags in blue instruct ph. $x$ to calculate the electronic dielectric permittivity tensor and the Born effective charges. These quantities are needed in order to correctly describe the LO-TO splitting. The lines in red instruct the code to calculate phonons on a uniform grid of $4 \times 4 \times 4$ q-points.
In order to obtain the dispersion relations, you will need to call pw.x, ph.x, q2r.x, and matdyn. $x$ as we already did for diamond:
mpirun -np $12 \mathrm{pw} . \mathrm{x}$-npool $4<$ <cf.in > scf,out
mpirun -np 12 ph.x -npool $4<$ ph.in > ph.out
./q2r.x < q2r.in
./matdyn. x < matdyn.in
The input files q2r.in and matdyn.in must be prepared in the same way as for diamond. The input file scf.in for GaAs is the same as the one that we used in Tutorial 4.1.

- Plot the phonon dispersion relations of GaAs along the Brillouin zone path $L \Gamma X$ (as we did for diamond in Tutorial 4.1).
- Verify that the LO-TO splitting at $\Gamma$ is the same as that calculated in Exercise 2.

Compare your phonon dispersion relations with the inelastic neutron scattering data of Strauch \& Dorner, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2, 1457 (1990).

As a sanity check, you should be able to obtain dispersion relations resembling the following:


## Exercise 5

In this exercise we want to calculate the phonon dispersion relations of cubic $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$, including the LO-TO splitting.

We will use the input file scf.in from Tutorial 3.2 (with the optimized parameters given at the beginning of T3.2/Exercise 5), and the pseudopotentials from the same tutorial. Try to perform a test run using this setup, in order to make sure that everything goes smoothly.

- We now adapt to the case of $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$ the input file ph.in prepared in Exercise 4 for GaAs:

```
> cat > ph.in << EOF
    phonons of STO
    &inputph
    prefix = 'sto',
    amass(1) = 87.62,
    amass(2) = 47.867,
    amass(3) = 15.9994,
    epsil = .true.,
    zeu = .true.,
    fildyn = 'dyn',
    tr2_ph = 1.0d-14,
    ldisp = .true.,
    nq1 = 2,
    nq2 = 2,
    nq3 = 2,
/
EOF
```

Now you can execute ph.x using this input file.
You should find out that the execution takes much donger than in the case of GaAs.
Calculations on $\mathrm{SrFiO}_{3}$ are more time-consuming than for GaAs since we now have 24 electrons per unit cell, and we are using a cutoff of 210 Ry. In these cases it is convenient to perform calculations in two steps:

1) We reduce massively the kinetic energy cutoff and the Brillouin zone sampling, and carry out the calculations until we manage to obtain our phonon dispersion relations. These results will be inaccurate and unreliable, but they will allow us to test every step very quickly.
2) Once we are confident about the complete procedure, we launch a 'production' calculation with all the optimized convergence parameters. This may take up to 30 min on 12 cores, but now we can be confident that the calculation will complete successfully.

- Following this two-step procedure, reduce the cutoff to 50 Ry and the Brillouin zone sampling to 22211 1, and calculate the phonon dispersion relations of $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$. The procedure is identical to what was done for GaAs on pag. 4.

Note: In this case we can plot the dispersions along the high-symmetry path $\Gamma X M \Gamma R$. The Cartesian coordinates of these points are $\Gamma:(0,0,0), X:(0.5,0,0), M:(0.5,0.5,0), R:(0.5,0.5,0.5)$ in units of $2 \pi / a$. The matdyn. in file will be:
\&input
asr = 'no',
flfrc = 'sto.fc',
flfrq = 'sto.freq'
/
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0.0000 .0000 .000
0.0500 .0000 .000
0.1000 .0000 .000
0.1500 .0000 .000
0.2000 .0000 .000
0.2500 .0000 .000
0.3000 .0000 .000
0.3500 .0000 .000
0.4000 .0000 .000
0.4500 .0000 .000
0.5000 .0000 .000
0.5000 .0500 .000
0.5000 .1000 .000
0.5000 .1500 .000
0.5000 .2000 .000
0.5000 .2500 .000
0.5000 .3000 .000
0.5000 .3500 .000
0.5000 .4000 .000
0.5000 .4500 .000
0.5000 .5000 .000
0.4500 .4500 .000
0.4000 .4000 .000
0.3500 .3500 .000
0.3000 .3000 .000
0.2500 .2500 .000
0.2000 .2000 .000
0.1500 .1500 .000
0.1000 .1000 .000
0.0500 .0500 .000
0.0000 .0000 .000
0.0500 .0500 .050
0.1000 .1000 .100
0.1500 .1500 .150
0.2000 .2000 .200
0.2500 .2500 .250
0.3000 .3000 .300
0.3500 .3500 .350
0.4000 .4000 .400
0.4500 .4500 .450
0.5000 .5000 .500

You should obtain something like the following:


Now that are are confident about the entire procedure, we can perform a production run in order to obtain the final dispersion relations: you can repeat the entire procedure by using the optimized parameters ecutwfc $=210$ and K_POINTS automatic 444111 .

The final result should look as follows (in this plot a denser path of $\mathbf{q}$-points was used):


Compare your result with those reported by Ghosez et al, AIP Conf. Proc. 535, 102 (2000) and by Cancellieri et al, Nat. Commun. 7, 10386 (2016).

- In the plot at the top of this page the curves in red denote imaginary frequencies, that is modes for which $\omega^{2}<0$. Since $\omega^{2}$ represents the curvature of the potential energy surface, negative values imply that the system is in a locat maximum of the energy surface, and is therefore unstable with respect to those vibrational modes.
In order to better understand the origin of these instabilities, use xcrysden to visualize the displacement patterns of the soft modes at $\Gamma, M$, and $R$.

In order to use xcrysden you will need to generate dynmat.axsf files as in Exercise 3, using dynmat. x . The dynmat.in files should look as follows:

```
&input
    fildyn = 'dyn1'
/
```

where dyn 1 is for the $\Gamma$ point, dyn 3 is for the $M$ point, and dyn4 is for the $R$ point.

The soft modes, that is the modes with imaginary frequency, should look as follows:


The soft modes at $\Gamma$ indicate the presence of a ferroelectric (quantum paraelectric) instability, the soft modes at $M$ and $R$ indicate instabilities against rotations of the $\mathrm{TiO}_{6}$ octahedra. The origin of these soft modes lies in that we are performing ground-state calculations (ie at 0 K ) for the cubic structure of $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$, but the cubic structure is only stable above 110 K . These soft modes can be taken as an indication of the tendency of the system to lower its symmetry. The soft modes disappear when performing calculations on larger orthorhombic unit cells (containing 20 atoms per cell).

- Calculate the dielectric permittivity and IR activities of cubic $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$, using dynmat. x .

In this case the appropriate input file is

```
&input
    fildyn = 'dyn1',
    asr = 'no',
    lperm = .true.,
    q(1)=1.0,
    q(2)=0.0,
    q(3)=0.0
/
```

Note that we are instructing dynamt. x to read data from dyn1, which corresponds to the $\bar{\Gamma}$ point. In this case we are also skipping the acoustic sum rule: the use of the sum rule is not meaningful when we have soft modes associated with structural instabilities.

Here we should be careful in interpreting our data: the static permittivity $\epsilon_{0}$ is not reliable since we have soft modes with a large IR activity.
Generally speaking, calculations, for the high-temperature cubic phase of $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$ should include temperature and quantum nuclear effects.

